Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/015,583	LEHMAN, WILLIAM R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Minh-Chau T. Pham.	(3)		
(2) <u>Mr. John Kerins</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 January 2004.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-23</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: All of record.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the novelty of the invention which is a work surface disposed in a sealed negative pressure system wherein the air is suctioned downwardly through the work surface, which is not disclosed in the cited Truhan reference. Further search will be conducted.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required